PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 03.12.2003 03.12.2004 PCT/EP2004/053251 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61B5/113, A61B5/0408 Applicant MILIOR S.P.A. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Knüpling, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2891



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/053251

	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	lar (uı	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).	
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material:		of material:	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material:		nat of material:	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
. 3	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4	4. Additional comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,4-18

No: Claims

1,3

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2,4-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

10/581476 AP3 Rec'd PCT/PTO 91 JUN 2008 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/053251

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: PARADISE R ET AL: "Knitted bioclothes for cardiopulmonary monitoring" PROCEEDINGS OF THE 25TH. ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF THE IEEE ENGINEERING IN MEDICINE AND BIOLOGY SOCIETY. CANCUN, MEXICO, SEPT. 17, vol. VOL. 4 OF 4. CONF. 25, 17 September 2003 (2003-09-17), pages 3720-3723, XP010691633 ISBN: 0-7803-7789-3
 - D2: GB-A-1 417 394 (IMPERIAL CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LTD) 10 December 1975 (1975-12-10)
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1, 13 16
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

Knitted fabric wherein piezoresistive sensors for the monitoring of movement and breathing, electrodes for the monitoring of cardiac activity and breathing, and conductive connections for the transmission of signals are integrated (abstract; figure 2).

- 2.2 Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.3 Since D1 also refers to detecting breathing pattern (section II, second sentence) and breathing signals are related to movement, claim 14 also lacks novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 13, 15, and 16 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.4 With regard to claim 13, D1 refers to monitoring activity, ECG, and breathing pattern (section II, first para.). For the man skilled in the art, breathing patterns obviously include breathing activity and respiratory frequency. Thus, claim 13 discloses in addition to what is known from D1 detection of EOG and EMG signals. However, the man skilled in the art known about these signals and according to circumstances, would use the known fabric according to D1 for the detection of EOG and EMG signals without applying an inventive step.
- 2.5 With regard to claim 15, the same arguments, mutatis mutandis, as for claim 13 apply. Impedance pneumography is well known in the art and would obviously applied by the man skilled in the art.
- 2.6 With regard to claim 16, a double-bed jersey technique is a well known technique in the field of knitting (see also D2, Example 13) and cannot support an inventive step.
- 2.7 Thus, claims 13, 15, and 16 lack an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

3.1 Dependent claims 2 - 12, 17, and 18 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the EPC with respect to novelty and/or inventive step, since they are either disclosed by D1, or rendered obvious by the prior art (see passages cited in the search report).